

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/483,672	XU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

**All Participants:**

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Jeff Hundley.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*103 rejections*

Claims discussed:

*all pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*GIMENO*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Marjorie A. Moran*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that claims 65 and 74 were allowable and that claims 77-79 would be allowable if they depended only from claim 74. The attorney declined an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance and requested that an office action be mailed. The attorney and examiner disagreed as to whether GIMENO's protein does teach the polypeptide recited in claim 75. .